



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/084,485 | 02/28/2002 | Tomohiro Nakajima | 220084US2 | 6148 |
| 22850 | 7590 11/16/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| C. IRVIN MCCLELLAND | | | GIBBS, HEATHER D | |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | NA, VA 22314 | | 2625 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Supplemental Office Action Summary | 10/084,485 | NAKAJIMA, TOMOHIRO | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Heather D. Gibbs | 2625 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the | e correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION BEGON, In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDO | ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Fe | ebruary 2002. | | | | |
| ·— · · <u> </u> | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-130 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-130 are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce | epted or b) objected to by the | e Examiner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S | See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | ce Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority | s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been rece to (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ation No ived in this National Stage | | | |
| Attachment(s) | A) □ Intoniou Sv | on (PTO 413) | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other: | Date | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/084,485 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

This restriction requirement replaces the one made in the Office action mailed 10/06/2006.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-48,60-70, 92-96,97-101, drawn to image reading apparatus wherein a frequency varies in accordance with a primary scan position, classified in class 358, and subclass 449.
 - II. Claims 81-91 drawn to an image reading apparatus treating one or a plurality of sheets of original as one document comprising: a display part and a confirming/editing part, classified in class 358, subclass 448.
 - III. Claims 71-80,102-106 drawn to an image reading apparatus treating one of a plurality of sheets comprising a registering part and a reading part, classified in class 358, subclass 403.
 - IV. Claims 81-91,107-111, drawn to an image processing system wherein light emission varies so that a light emission interval between each pixel is minimized in the center of the image, classified in class 358, subclass 450.
 - V. Claims 112,114, drawn to an optical scanning device wherein image writing selects a frequency causing the light-emission source to emit light based on pixel info, classified in class 362/601

Application/Control Number: 10/084,485

Art Unit: 2625

VI. Claim 113 is drawn to an optical scanning system wherein image writing is performed by supplying the light emission source with a primary scanning position of each pixel, classified in class 356/445

VII. Claims 115-126,126-130 drawn to wherein control is performed so that termination end of one of the images coincides with a starting end of an adjacent image, classified in class 359/237

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I-VII are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombinations listed above have separate utility such as registering part, storage part, display/confirming part, and time measuring part. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,485

Art Unit: 2625

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction were not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Heather D. Gibbs whose telephone number is 571-272-7404. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thu 8AM-7PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K. Moore can be reached on 571-272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Heather D Gibbs Examiner

Art Unit 2625

hdg

TUOMAS DITE